

Charged particle motion in a time-dependent flux-driven ring: an exactly solvable model

Pi-Gang Luan¹ and Chi-Shung Tang²

¹*Department of Optics and Photonics, National Central University, Chung-Li 32054, Taiwan*

²*Research Center for Applied Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan*

We consider a charged particle driven by a time-dependent flux threading a quantum ring. The dynamics of the charged particle is investigated using classical treatment, Fourier expansion technique, time-evolution method, and Lewis-Riesenfeld approach. We have shown that, by properly managing the boundary conditions, a time-dependent wave function can be obtained using a general non-Hermitian time-dependent invariant, which is a specific linear combination of initial angular-momentum and azimuthal-angle operators. It is shown that the linear invariant eigenfunction can be realized as a Gaussian-type wave packet with a peak moving along the classical angular trajectory, while the distribution of the wave packet is determined by the ratio of the coefficient of the initial angle to that of the initial canonical angular momentum. From the topologically nontrivial nature as well as the classical trajectory and angular momentum, one can determine the dynamical motion of the wave packet. It should be noted that the peak position is no longer an expectation value of the angle operator, and hence the Ehrenfest theorem is not directly applicable in such a topologically nontrivial system.

I. INTRODUCTION

A charged particle driven by a time-dependent perturbation in a quantum system is a nontrivial fundamental issue [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. One can access the charged particle wave function by placing it in a quantum ring threaded by a time-dependent magnetic flux. The vector potential $\mathbf{A}(t)$ associated with the time-dependent flux $\Phi(t)$ times the charge q leading to a phase shift proportional to the number of flux quanta penetrating the ring, this is known as Aharonov-Bohm (AB) effect [9, 10, 11]. In adiabatic cyclic evolution, Berry [12] first discovered that there exists a geometric phase. Later on, Aharonov and Anandan (AA) removed the adiabatic restriction to explore the geometric phase for any cyclic evolution [13]. Time-dependent fields are also used to deal with field driven Zener tunneling, in which nonadiabaticity plays a crucial role [14, 15, 16].

In mesoscopic systems, a number of manifestations of the AB effect have been predicted and verified [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. On the other hand, Stern demonstrated that the Berry phase affects the particle motion in the ring similar to the AB effect, and a time-dependent Berry phase induces a motive force [24]. It was found experimentally [23] that a quantum ring threaded by a static magnetic field displays persistent currents oscillating in period of $\Phi_0 = h/q$, the ratio of Planck constant and charge of a particle.

In the present work, we consider a noninteracting spinless charged particle moving cyclically in a quantum ring in the presence of a time-dependent vector potential. Such a particle motion can be described by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \hat{H}(t)\psi, \quad (1)$$

where the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$ is induced by an external

time-dependent vector potential $\mathbf{A}(t)$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}(t) &= \frac{1}{2m} [\hat{\mathbf{P}} - q\mathbf{A}(t)]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2I} [\hat{L} - qRA(t)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here $\hat{\mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{e}_\theta \hat{P}_\theta$ is the canonical momentum operator with $\mathbf{e}_\theta$ being the unit vector along the azimuthal angle θ ; $\hat{L} = \hat{L}_z = (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{P}})_z$ is the canonical angular momentum operator in the z direction; $I = mR^2$ is the moment of inertia of the particle; $\mathbf{A}(t) = A(t)\mathbf{e}_\theta$ is the vector potential; and R is the radius of the circular ring. This time-dependent dynamical problem can be solved by taking into account the Fourier expansion, time evolution operator, and Lewis-Riesenfeld (LR) method [25, 26].

II. A CLASSICAL TREATMENT

We first analyze the time-dependent problem in a classical manner. The time-varying magnetic flux induces an electric field $\mathbf{E} = E\mathbf{e}_\theta$ such that $E = -\partial A/\partial t$. The charged particle thus obtain a kinematic momentum increment during the time interval from 0 to t , namely

$$\Delta p_c = \Delta(mv) = m[v(t) - v(0)] = -q[A(t) - A(0)], \quad (3)$$

where $p_c = mv$ is the kinematic momentum. It should be noted that both p_c and qA are not conservative quantities, while from Eq. (3) we see that the canonical momentum P_c is a constant of motion:

$$P_c(t) = mv(t) + qA(t) = mv(0) + qA(0) = P_c(0). \quad (4)$$

Comparing the two identities in Eq. (2), we see that the result of Eq. (3) is equivalent to

$$\Delta l_c = I[\omega(t) - \omega(0)] = -\frac{q}{2\pi} [\Phi(t) - \Phi(0)], \quad (5)$$

where $l_c = (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}_c)_z = I\omega$ indicates the kinematic angular momentum, ω is the angular velocity, Φ is the magnetic flux threading the ring, and the fact

$$\Phi(t) = 2\pi RA(t) \quad (6)$$

has been used. Also, Eq.(4) lead us to obtain the following relations

$$L_c(t) = l_c(t) + \frac{q}{2\pi}\Phi(t) = l_c(0) + \frac{q}{2\pi}\Phi(0) = L_c(0). \quad (7)$$

These identities imply that the *canonical angular momentum* L_c , defined by $(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{P}_c)_z$, is also a constant of motion.

Now we define the *writhing number* as

$$n_\Phi(t) \equiv \frac{\Phi(t)}{\Phi_0}, \quad (8)$$

where $\Phi_0 = h/q$ is a flux quantum. We also define

$$L_c \equiv n_0 \hbar, \quad l_c(t) \equiv n_c(t) \hbar, \quad (9)$$

then we have

$$n_c(t) = n_0 - n_\Phi(t). \quad (10)$$

All of these n 's are *real* numbers.

Now the angular position of the driven particle is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_c(t) &= \theta_0 + \int_0^t \frac{n_c(\tau) \hbar}{I} d\tau \\ &= \theta_0 + \omega_0 t - \int_0^t \frac{n_\Phi(\tau) \hbar}{I} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here θ_0 indicates the initial azimuthal angle; and $\omega_0 = \omega(0) = n_0 \hbar / I$ stands for the initial angular velocity. Below we denote the initial kinematic angular momentum $l_0 \equiv l_c(0)$ for simplicity.

Hereafter we solve the quantum version of the problem, i.e., Eq. (1), using three different methods. The classical quantities $l_c(t)$ and $\theta_c(t)$ will also appear in the expressions of the wave function. Their roles in the quantum problem will be further explored.

III. A FOURIER EXPANSION METHOD

The simplest method for solving the time-dependent flux-driven problem is the Fourier expansion method. The first thing about the system we discuss is that the wave function satisfies the periodic boundary condition:

$$\psi(\theta, t) = \psi(\theta + 2\pi, t). \quad (12)$$

The most general form of ψ for the present problem is thus written as

$$\psi(\theta, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n f_n(t) e^{in\theta}, \quad (13)$$

where the c_n 's are appropriate coefficients to be determined by the initial and the boundary conditions.

Substituting Eq. (13) into Eq. (1), we can find the identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} i\hbar c_n \dot{f}_n(t) e^{in\theta} \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n f_n(t) \frac{(n\hbar - qRA(t))^2}{2I} e^{in\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Solving Eq.(14), after some procedures we obtain

$$f_n(t) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{i}{2I\hbar} \int_0^t [n\hbar - qRA(\tau)]^2 d\tau \right\}, \quad (15)$$

and thus

$$\psi(\theta, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n \exp \left\{ -\frac{i\hbar}{2I} \int_0^t [n - n_\Phi(\tau)]^2 d\tau + in\theta \right\}. \quad (16)$$

As a simple example, let us choose

$$c_n = N \exp \left[-\sigma^2 (n - n_0)^2 - i\theta_0 (n - n_0) \right], \quad (17)$$

where N indicates an appropriate normalization constant; σ , θ_0 and n_0 are real numbers, and n is an integer.

Substituting Eq.(17) into Eq.(16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta, t) &= N \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \frac{l_c^2(\tau)}{2I} d\tau + in_0 \theta_c(t) \right] \\ &\times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -\sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{it}{T} \right) (n - n_0)^2 + in [\theta - \theta_c(t)] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $T = 2I\sigma^2/\hbar$. Applying the Poisson summation formula

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{i2\pi nx} dx \right) \quad (19)$$

on the function

$$f(x) = \exp \left[-\sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{it}{T} \right) (x - n_0)^2 + i(\theta - \theta_c(t)) x \right], \quad (20)$$

we can obtain an alternative expression

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta, t) &= N \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sigma^2(1 + \frac{it}{T})}} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \frac{l_c^2(\tau)}{2I} d\tau \right] \\ &\times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{(\theta - \theta_c(t) + 2n\pi)^2}{4\sigma^2(1 + \frac{it}{T})} + in_0(\theta + 2n\pi) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

We note that Eq. (16) is the general solution of the problem, whereas Eq.(18) and Eq.(21) are two different expressions for a special solution defined by the c_n coefficients of Eq. (17). When $\sigma^2 t/T < 1$, it should be

noted that Eq.(18) converges slowly while Eq.(21) converges quickly. This means that in the short time limit $t < T/\sigma^2$, the wave function is better described by a circulating wave packet. However, for the case of long time limit $t \gg T/\sigma^2$, Eq. (18) has fast convergency this is because, in this expression, only the $n \approx n_0$ terms are important. If we further assume n_0 being an integer, then at large t the wave function approaches to a circulating plane wave that characterized by n_0 , namely

$$\psi(\theta, t) \approx N \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \frac{l_c^2(\tau)}{2I} d\tau + in_0\theta \right].$$

From these findings we conclude that Eq.(21) describes the short time behavior and Eq.(18) describes the long time behavior of the ring system when the wave function is defined by Eq.(17).

IV. A TIME EVOLUTION METHOD

In this section, we shall present how to get the general solution shown in the previous section in terms of the time evolution operator $\hat{U}(t)$. The state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is connected with the initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle$ through

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t)|\psi(0)\rangle. \quad (22)$$

and the wave function $\psi(\theta, t)$ is given by

$$\psi(\theta, t) = \langle \theta | \hat{U}(t) | \psi(0) \rangle, \quad (23)$$

where $|\theta\rangle$ is the θ -eigenket in the Schrödinger picture that will be explained later.

To begin with, we introduce the canonical commutator

$$[\hat{\theta}(0), \hat{L}(0)] = i\hbar. \quad (24)$$

From this identity, we have

$$[\hat{\theta}(t), \hat{L}(t)] = \hat{U}^\dagger(t)[\hat{\theta}(0), \hat{L}(0)]\hat{U}(t) = i\hbar. \quad (25)$$

Utilizing Eq.(25) we can derive

$$\frac{d\hat{L}(t)}{dt} = \frac{[\hat{L}(t), \hat{H}(t)]}{i\hbar} = 0, \quad (26)$$

we thus obtain the identity $\hat{L}(t) = \hat{L}(0)$. Following similar procedure it is easy to obtain

$$\frac{d\hat{\theta}(t)}{dt} = \frac{[\hat{\theta}(t), \hat{H}(t)]}{i\hbar} = \frac{\hat{L}(0) - n_\Phi(t)\hbar}{I}, \quad (27)$$

which gives us

$$\hat{\theta}(t) = \hat{\theta}(0) + \frac{\hat{L}(0)t}{I} - \int_0^t \frac{n_\Phi(\tau)\hbar}{I} d\tau. \quad (28)$$

Here we see that the canonical angular momentum is a constant of motion. This is consistent with the classical results discussed in Sec. II.

From the above results we have

$$[\hat{H}(t), \hat{H}(t')] = 0 \quad (29)$$

for any two times t and t' . Hence the time evolution operator is simply given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}(t) &= \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \hat{H}(\tau) d\tau \right] \\ &= \exp \left[-\frac{i\hbar}{2I} \int_0^t \left(\frac{\hat{L}(0)}{\hbar} - n_\Phi(\tau) \right)^2 d\tau \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

To proceed further, we define $|n\rangle$ as the eigenket of $\hat{L}(0)$ obeying

$$\hat{L}(0)|n\rangle = n\hbar|n\rangle. \quad (31)$$

Then we assume that $|\theta\rangle$ is an eigenket of $e^{i\hat{\theta}(0)}$ obeying

$$e^{i\hat{\theta}(0)}|\theta\rangle = e^{i\theta}|\theta\rangle. \quad (32)$$

The orthogonal conditions of the two eigenkets can thus be expressed by

$$\langle m | n \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)\theta} d\theta = \delta_{mn} \quad (33)$$

and

$$\langle \theta | \theta' \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in(\theta-\theta')} = \delta(\theta - \theta'). \quad (34)$$

These two orthogonal conditions can be derived from the closure relations

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle \langle n| = 1, \quad \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta |\theta\rangle \langle \theta| = 1 \quad (35)$$

and taking into account the definition

$$\langle \theta | n \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{in\theta} = \langle n | \theta \rangle^*. \quad (36)$$

It should be noted that both θ and θ' are defined in the interval $[0, 2\pi)$. In the coordinate representation, the $e^{in\theta}$ is an eigenfunction of $\hat{L}_{\text{rep}} = -i\hbar\partial/\partial\theta$ with corresponding eigenvalue $n\hbar$. This result can be expressed as

$$\langle \theta | \hat{L}(0) | n \rangle = \hat{L}_{\text{rep}} \langle \theta | n \rangle = n\hbar \langle \theta | n \rangle. \quad (37)$$

The wave function ψ now can be calculated:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta, t) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \theta | \hat{U}(t) | n \rangle \langle n | \psi(0) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\langle n | \psi(0) \rangle}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{i\hbar}{2I} \int_0^t [n - n_\Phi(\tau)]^2 d\tau + in\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

If we define

$$c_n = \frac{\langle n | \psi(0) \rangle}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad (39)$$

then the result of Eq.(38) becomes that of Eq.(16).

Using the time evolution operator $\hat{U}(t)$, we have indeed found the general solution of Eq.(16). Based on the commutativity of the Hamiltonian operator at different times (Eq.(29)), the $\hat{U}(t)$ operator can be constructed straightforwardly by simple integration.

V. THE LEWIS-RIESENFIELD METHOD

In this section, we briefly review the LR method and then apply it to solve the present problem. We shall show that LR method is not directly applicable, however, a simple modification concerning about the boundary condition makes it applicable to solving the problems with periodic boundary condition.

Traditionally, to utilize the LR method [26] solving a time-dependent system, we have to find an operator $\hat{Q}(t)$ such that

$$i\hbar \frac{d\hat{Q}}{dt} = i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{Q}}{\partial t} + [\hat{Q}, \hat{H}] = 0, \quad (40)$$

and then find its eigenfunction $\varphi_\lambda(\theta, t)$ satisfying

$$\hat{Q}(t) \varphi_\lambda(\theta, t) = \lambda \varphi_\lambda(\theta, t), \quad (41)$$

with λ being the corresponding eigenvalue. A wave function $\psi_\lambda(\theta, t)$ satisfying Eq.(1) is then obtained via the relation

$$\psi_\lambda(\theta, t) = e^{i\alpha_\lambda(t)} \varphi_\lambda(\theta, t), \quad (42)$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is a function of time only, satisfying

$$\dot{\alpha}_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda^{-1} (i\partial/\partial t - \hat{H}/\hbar) \varphi_\lambda. \quad (43)$$

A general solution ψ of Eq.(1) is then given by

$$\psi(\theta, t) = \sum_\lambda g(\lambda) \psi_\lambda(\theta, t), \quad (44)$$

where $g(\lambda)$ is a weight function for λ .

To proceed let us assume the time-dependent invariant operator $\hat{Q}(t)$ takes the linear form [5, 6]

$$\hat{Q}(t) = a(t)\hat{L} + b(t)\hat{\theta} + c(t), \quad (45)$$

in which $a(t)$, $b(t)$, and $c(t)$ are time-dependent c -number functions to be determined.

Substituting Eq.(45) into Eq.(40) and solving these operator equations, we get

$$a(t) = a_0 - \frac{b_0 t}{I}, \quad b(t) = b_0, \quad (46)$$

$$c(t) = c_0 + b_0 \int_0^t \frac{n_\Phi(\tau)\hbar}{I} d\tau, \quad (47)$$

where a_0 , b_0 , and c_0 are arbitrary complex constants. Furthermore, substituting Eqs. (46) and (47) into Eq.(45), we find

$$\hat{Q}(t) = a_0 \hat{L}(0) + b_0 \hat{\theta}(0) + c_0 = \hat{Q}(0). \quad (48)$$

In other words, the invariant $\hat{Q}$ in the Heisenberg picture is precisely the linear combination of the initial canonical angular momentum $\hat{L}(0)$ and the initial azimuthal angle $\hat{\theta}(0)$ with an arbitrary constant c_0 . Note that in our system the $\hat{L}$ operator is also an invariant.

It is interesting to ask how the eigenvalue λ evolves in time. Multiplying the factor $e^{i\alpha(t)}$ on both sides of Eq.(41), we get

$$\hat{Q}(t) \psi_\lambda(\theta, t) = \lambda \psi_\lambda(\theta, t). \quad (49)$$

Partially differentiating the both sides of Eq.(49) with respect to time and using Eq. (40), we find

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda(0), \quad (50)$$

thus λ is a constant.

To find a solution of Eq.(1), we have to solve Eq.(41) first. By solving Eq.(41), we get

$$\varphi_\lambda(\theta, t) = \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\mu(t)\theta - \frac{1}{2}\nu(t)\theta^2 \right) \right], \quad (51)$$

where

$$\mu(t) = \frac{\lambda - c(t)}{a(t)}, \quad \nu(t) = \frac{b_0}{a(t)}. \quad (52)$$

Substituting Eq. (51) into Eq. (43), we obtain

$$\alpha_\lambda(t) = \alpha_\lambda(0) - \int_0^t \frac{[\eta^2(\tau) + i\hbar\nu(\tau)]}{2I\hbar} d\tau, \quad (53)$$

where

$$\eta(\tau) \equiv \mu(\tau) - n_\Phi(\tau)\hbar. \quad (54)$$

In the derivation of Eq.(53), we have used the following two identities:

$$\dot{\mu} = \frac{\nu(\mu - n_\Phi\hbar)}{I}, \quad \dot{\nu} = \frac{\nu^2}{I}. \quad (55)$$

Here we see that in general $\alpha_\lambda(t)$ is a complex function.

Although the form of $\psi_\lambda(\theta, t) = e^{i\alpha_\lambda(t)} \phi_\lambda(\theta, t)$ is indeed a solution of Eq.(1), however, it does not satisfy the periodic boundary condition [see Eq.(12)]. This problem can be resolved by defining the total wave function $\psi(\theta, t)$ as the summation of all $\psi_\lambda(\theta + 2n\pi, t)$ terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta, t) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_\lambda(\theta + 2n\pi, t) \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[i\alpha_\lambda(t) + \frac{i}{\hbar} \mu(t)(\theta + 2n\pi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \nu(t)(\theta + 2n\pi)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

It can also be transformed to the equivalent form below using the Poisson summation formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta, t) &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\pi i\nu(t)}} \exp \left[i\alpha_\lambda(t) + i\frac{\nu(t)}{2\hbar}\theta_c^2(t) + in_0\theta_c(t) \right] \\ &\times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[\frac{i\hbar(n-n_0)^2}{2\nu(t)} + in(\theta - \theta_c(t)) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

From these derivations it should be noted that *when using the LR method, the boundary conditions have to be carefully managed, otherwise one may get an incorrect result.*

VI. A COMPARISON TO VARIOUS APPROACHES

In this section we shall show that Eq. (56) and (57) can be cast into the forms of Eq.(21) and Eq. (18), respectively. To proceed further, let us first borrow the parameters n_0 and $\theta_c(t)$ from Sec. II in combination with the results obtained in Sec. V, we have the simple identity

$$a(t)n_0\hbar + b(t)\theta_c(t) + c(t) = a_0n_0\hbar + b_0\theta_0 + c_0. \quad (58)$$

Comparing this result with Eq. (50), we find that they are very similar. For simplicity, we define

$$\lambda \equiv a(t)n_0\hbar + b(t)\theta_c(t) + c(t), \quad (59)$$

in combination with Eq.(59), it is easy to obtain

$$\mu(t) = n_0\hbar + \nu(t)\theta_c(t), \quad (60)$$

$$\eta(t) = l_c(t) + \nu(t)\theta_c(t). \quad (61)$$

Further, using the identity

$$\frac{d\theta_c^2(t)}{dt} = \frac{2}{I}l_c(t)\theta_c(t) \quad (62)$$

and the identity of $\dot{\nu}$ in Eq. (55), we have

$$\eta^2 = l_c^2 + I\frac{d}{dt}(\nu\theta_c^2). \quad (63)$$

Substituting Eq.(63) into Eq.(53), we get

$$e^{i\alpha_\lambda(t)} = \frac{e^{i\alpha_\lambda(0)} \exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \frac{l_c^2(\tau)}{2I} d\tau - \frac{i\nu\theta_c^2}{2\hbar} \right)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\nu_0 t}{I}}}. \quad (64)$$

In addition, by defining $e^{i\alpha_\lambda(0)}$ and ν_0 as

$$e^{i\alpha_\lambda(0)} \equiv \frac{N\sqrt{\pi}}{\sigma} \quad (65)$$

and

$$\nu_0 \equiv -\frac{iI}{T} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2\sigma^2}, \quad (66)$$

we can see clearly that Eqs. (56) and (57) become exactly the same as Eqs. (21) and (18). Hence, we have verified that the Fourier transform method, the time evolution method, and the Lewis-Riesenfeld method are equivalent when we choose the coefficients c_n as Eq.(17). This restriction is not necessary to find the two equivalent general solutions Eq.(16) and Eq.(38) obtained by using Fourier expansion and time evolution methods, respectively. It turns out that the Lewis-Riesenfeld method seemed to be more restrictive.

It is now interesting to discuss the physical meanings of $l_c(t)$ and $\theta_c(t)$ we have obtained. Although they are originated from the classical treatment, however, in what sense do they play a role of dynamic variables in the corresponding classical system should be further clarified. We would like to bring attention that in the Schrödinger picture within coordinate representation, the $l_c(t)$ is the expectation value of $\hat{L} - qRA(t) = -i\hbar\partial/\partial\theta - qRA(t)$. However, $\theta_c(t)$ is not the expectation value of $\hat{\theta} = \theta$ with respect to the wave function obtained in Eq.(18), instead, it is merely the peak position of the wave packet [see Eq.(21)].

In other words, the conventional Ehrenfest Theorem is not directly applicable in this topologically nontrivial system. This consequence is due to the fact that we are not able to distinguish the phase between the angle θ and $\theta + 2n\pi$. Hence the $\hat{\theta}$ operator is not well-defined, only the $e^{i\hat{\theta}}$ is a well-defined operator, as has been demonstrated in Sec. IV. These facts cause the λ losing its meaning as an expectation value of the $\hat{Q}$ operator.

We finally point out the relationship between the problem we have considered here and that we studied in the previous work [8]. In that work we studied the motion of a charged particle in a one-dimensional space subject to a time-varying linear potential. By doing a gauge transformation as that mentioned in Ref. 27, the Hamiltonian in Ref. 8 can be cast into the form of Eq.(1), hence the two problems are equivalent if we ignore the difference of their topologies. Therefore, by ignoring the factor caused by the gauge transformation (which contains only a function of time), the circulating wave packet solution, Eq.(21), can be viewed as the wave packet solution in a one-dimensional system (see Eqs.(24) and (40) in Ref. 8) being folded into a ring. That is why in Eq.(56) the total wave function $\psi(\theta, t)$ can be written as the sum of all the $\psi_\lambda(\theta + 2n\pi, t)$ terms. This folding nature of wave packet leads to interferences between different $\psi_\lambda(\theta + 2n\pi, t)$ terms. As a result, the expectation value of $\hat{\theta}$ operator is different from the peak position θ_c of the wave packet.

VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this article, we have studied the problem of a charged particle moving in a ring subject to a time-dependent flux threading it. After analyzing the problem in a classical manner, various approaches including Fourier expansion method, time-evolution method, and Lewis-Riesenfeld method are considered and compared. In the Lewis-Riesenfeld approach, by appropriately managing the periodic boundary condition of the system, a time-dependent wave function can be obtained by using a non-Hermitian time-dependent linear invariant. The eigenfunction of the invariant can be realized as a Gaussian-type wave packet with the peak moving along the classical angular trajectory, while the distribution of the wave packet is determined by the ratio of the coefficient of the initial angle to that of the initial canonical angular momentum. In this circular system, we find that

although the classical trajectory and angular momentum can determine the motion of the wave packet; however, the peak position is no longer an expectation value of the angle operator, and the Ehrenfest theorem can not be directly applicable.

Recently, possible schemes of the experimental setup to explore the quantum dynamics of a mesoscopic ring threaded by a time-dependent magnetic flux have been proposed by either capacitively coupling the ring to an electronic reservoir [28] or applying two shaped time-delayed pulses [29]. The quantum dynamics in a time-dependent flux-driven ring should be achievable within recent fabrication capability.

Acknowledgment.—The authors are grateful to D. H. Lin, Y. M. Kao, and M. Moskalets for discussion of the results. This work was supported by the National Science Council, the National Central University, and the National Center for Theoretical Sciences in Taiwan.

-
- [1] B. J. van Wees 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2033
 - [2] C. Figueira de M. Faria, M. Dorr, and W. Sandner 1996 Phys. Rev. A **55**, 3961
 - [3] C. S. Tang and C. S. Chu 1999 Phys. Rev. B **60**, 1830
 - [4] M. T. Liu and C. S. Chu 2000 Phys. Rev. B **61**, 7645
 - [5] I. Guedes 2001 Phys. Rev. A **63**, 034102
 - [6] H. Bekkar, F. Benamira, and M. Maamache 2003 Phys. Rev. A **68**, 0160101
 - [7] H. Maeda and T. F. Gallagher 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 133004
 - [8] P. G. Luan and C. S. Tang 2005 Phys. Rev. A **71**, 014101
 - [9] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm 1959 Phys. Rev. **115**, 485
 - [10] A. G. Aronov and Yu. V. Sharvin 1987 Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 755
 - [11] T. Chakraborty and P. Pietiläinen 1994 Phys. Rev. B **50**, 8460
 - [12] M. V. Berry 1984 Proc. R. Soc. London A **392**, 45
 - [13] Y. Aharonov and J. Anandan 1987 Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1593
 - [14] R. Landauer and M. Büttiker 1985 Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 2049
 - [15] Y. Gefen and D. J. Thouless 1987 Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1752
 - [16] K. Mullen, E. Ben-Jacob, Y. Gefen, and Z. Schuss 1989 Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2543
 - [17] M. Büttiker, Y. Imry, and R. Landauer 1983 Phys. Lett. **96A**, 365
 - [18] V. Chandrasekhar et al. 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3578
 - [19] D. Mailly, C. Chapelier, and M. Benoit 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2020
 - [20] A. F. Morpurgo et al. 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1050
 - [21] R. Schuster et al. 1997 Nature (London) **385**, 417
 - [22] M. Bayer, M. Korkusinski, P. Hawrylak, T. Gutbrod, M. Michel, and A. Forchel 2003 Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 186801
 - [23] L. P. Levy, G. Dolan, J. Dunsmuir, H. Bouchiat 1990 Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** 2074.
 - [24] A. Stern 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1022
 - [25] H. R. Lewis, Jr. 1967 Phys. Rev. Lett. **18**, 510
 - [26] H. R. Lewis, Jr. and W. B. Riesenfeld 1969 J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1458
 - [27] J. Bauer 2002 Phys. Rev. A **65**, 036101
 - [28] L. Arrachea 2002 Phys. Rev. B **66**, 045315.
 - [29] A. Matos-Abiague and J. Berkdar 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 166801.